

I was appalled to hear about Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a blatant example of the dangers of media consolidation. Not to mention illegal.

Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest, because they use the airwaves free of charge. When large companies such as this control the airwaves, they seem more interested in serving their own interests (i.e. helping their "friends" in this case), rather than the public's.

Sinclair's despicable actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.